



INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

**TELECOMMUNICATION  
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR**

STUDY PERIOD 2017-2020

**SG2-LS40**

**STUDY GROUP 2**

**Original: English**

**Question(s):** 1/2

Geneva, 27 November - 1 December 2017

**Ref.: SG2-TD290-R1/GEN**

**Source:** ITU-T Study Group 2

**Title:** LS regarding application for E.212 shared MCC/MNC from Multefire Alliance

---

**LIAISON STATEMENT**

**For action to:** 3GPP

**For comment to:** -

**For information to:** MulteFire Alliance

**Approval:** ITU-T SG 2 meeting (Geneva, 1 December 2017)

**Deadline:** 31 March 2018

---

**Contact:** Philippe Fouquart, Q1/2 Rapporteur Tel: +33 15739 5813

Orange

France

Email: [philippe.fouquart@orange.com](mailto:philippe.fouquart@orange.com)

---

**Keywords:** Application, E.212 shared MCC and MNC, MulteFire, 3GPP

**Abstract:** LS regarding application for E.212 shared MCC/MNC from Multefire Alliance

At the December meeting of ITU-T Study Group 2, MulteFire Alliance applied for an E.212 shared MCC and MNC for local MulteFire deployments where identifiers cannot be directly associated with PLMNs. It is understood that these MulteFire systems are developed on top of 3GPP systems. The application is attached.

During the discussion, it was noted that the use of “well-known” predetermined MNC values is not typical service identification and would be an exception. In the application it is also stated that not using such a “well-known” predetermined MNC value (and convey the service identification with a different technical solution) would have a significant impact on 3GPP architecture.

Study Group 2 would welcome 3GPP’s views regarding this application and the analysis on the impact on 3GPP systems of not using a dedicated MCC/MNC for the service.

Attachment: SG2-TD258/GEN

---